

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Saturday, the 6th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2047/2026

Bharathiraja, S/o Ramaiahahan

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Redhills Police station
(Crime No:202/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 03.06.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s V. Arunkumar, V. Nirmalraj, A. Nazar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 02.04.2026 in Crime No. 202/2026 on the file of Redhills police station registered for the offence u/s 75(1)(iii) of BNS r/w 67 of IT Act. The previous bail petition was dismissed was by this Court in CMP No. 1480/2026 dated 29.04.2026.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated , on the date of occurrence, when the petitioner was having lunch at a hotel, an unknown person approached him requesting his mobile phone to make an urgent call, believing the request , the petitioner handed over his phone, the unknown person retained the phone for 45 minutes while petitioner was having food and thereafter returned the phone, therefore, the conversation between the said unknown person and the defacto complainant was not known to the petitioner, the said person appears to have misused his phone , sent objectionable material, messages, thereafter, deleted the chats, blocked the contact and removed all the traces from the device, the petitioner has no knowledge about the defacto complainant and no acquaintance had with her, the petitioner was suddenly picked up from his workplace on 02.04.2026, taken to the police station and there was physical assault and coercion and obtained confession forcibly, even the phone was already seized by the police, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, petitioner is in custody for the past 66 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 04.03.2026 at about 10.35 PM, when the defacto complainant was called by the petitioner form the Mobile Phone No.9150742594, thereafter, he sent an obscene video and also continuously sent obscene SMS, thus, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 02.04.2026, mobile phone seized and sent to forensic lab, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence , which is against a woman,
 - (ii) investigation is still pending
 - (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 75(1)(iii) of BNS.
 - (iv) There is no change in circumstances,
 - (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 6th day of June, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s V. Arunkumar
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri
The Inspector of police, Redhills police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II , Puzhal, Chennai