

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Thursday, the 11th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No: 2010/2026

Rajagopal S/o Lakshmanan

.....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Mappedu Police Station,

(Crime No:105/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:02.06.2026 u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.V.E.John, K.Rajkamal, J.Kanniga, Suriyaprakash and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s.483 of B.N.S.S seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 23.05.2026 in Crime No.105/2026 on the file of Mappedu police station registered for the offence u/s.274, 275, 123 of BNS r/w 6(a), 24(1) of COTPA Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent, he had not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, he is in judicial custody for the past 20 days, he had no bad antecedents, the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offences, the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner is ready to co-operate for investigation and to abide any condition imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioner and argued based on discreet information on 23.05.2026 at 11.00 Hrs, when the respondent police routine checking at satharai junction, at the time of the petitioner came from TN 22 DM 0420, the petitioner was found in illegal possession of banned tobacco products of 03 KG, worth about Rs.12,000/-, for the purpose of selling in higher rates, which cause injuries to public health, all the contrabands were seized and arrested the accused, investigation is still pending. There is one previous case as against the petitioner.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case.

Hence, this court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, the petitioner is local residence, It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is custody for 20 days,

Therefore, on considering

1. nature of offence,
2. long duration of custody of the petitioner for the past 20 days,
3. substantial part of investigation would be completed,
4. that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is local resident,
5. the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
6. and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioners on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily twice by 10.00 a.m and 5.30 pm for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (AIR 2005 SCW 5560). If the petitioner abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 11th day of June 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.V.E.John,
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur,
The Inspector of Police, Mappedu Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.