

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 9th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2015/2026

Ramesh Kumar, S/o Vaga Ram

...Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pathirivedu Police station
(Crime No: 77/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 02.06..2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Mukilan, P. Vignesh Kumar, A. Esakipandy, S. Ramesh Kumar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. This petition is filed u/s 483 of BNS praying to enlarge the petitioner who was remanded on 13.04.2026 in Crime No. 77/2026 on the file of Pathirivedu police station registered for the offence u/s 311, 312 of BNS /w 25(1A) of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent , he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, the respondent police falsely implicated only since the petitioner belongs to a nearby area , he has no bad antecedents, he is arrayed only as A5 only based on the confession of other co-accused, there was no property was robbed, and there was only an attempt, he is in custody for the past 58 days, in this case, this is the 2nd bail petition, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that there are 6 accused involved, on 09.04.2026 at about 8.10 AM, when the defacto complainant was in his jewel shop namely Kanya Jewellery, situated at PH Road, Pathirivedu, Gummidipoondi Taluk, the petitioners trespassed into the shop and assaulted the defacto complainant tried to rob jewels at gun point, since the defacto complainant clamoured for help, they assaulted him with knife and escaped, the defacto complainant admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioner was arrested on 13.04.2026, the petitioner belongs to Rajasthan State and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is still pending
- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 311 of BNS
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 9th day June , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Mukilan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate , Gummidipoondi
The Inspector of police, Pathirivedu police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai