

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Saturday, the 6th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:1970/2026

Shanmuvel, S/o Murugan,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Madhuravoyal Police station
(Crime No: 169/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 01.06.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s K.V. Dilipkumar, A. Lakshmanan, Sathya, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 14.03.2026 in Crime No. 169/2026 on the file of Madhuravoyal police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b),351(3), 109 of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to previous enmity, the injured is already discharged, only due to some previous cases, he has been falsely implicated in this case, coaccused was already granted bail by this Court in CMP NO. 1546/2026 dated 29.04.2026, itself, the petitioner is in custody for the past 85 days, this is the 2nd bail petition, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant and the petitioner belongs to the same area, and there was some dispute between them regarding area sharing, so that the petitioner came to the area of defacto complainant and assaulted him with beer bottle, the defacto complainant sustained injuries, admitted in hospital, had stitches, and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, petitioner was arrested on 15.03.2026, the petitioner is having 9 previous cases, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident The injured is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 85 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
 - (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 85 days
 - (iii) that the injured is already discharged
 - (iv) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (v) co-accused was already granted bail by this Court,
 - (vi) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
 - (vii) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (viii) considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.00 AM and 5.30 PM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 6th day of June , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s K.V. Dilipkumar
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee
The Inspector of police Madhuravoyal police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai