

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 16th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:1954/2026

Varadhan, S/o Krishnamoorthy

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Vengal Police station
(Crime No: 152/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 01.06. 2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s S. Sundar Kumar, P. Chandrasekar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioners were was remanded on 21.05.2026 in Crime No. 152/2026 on the file of Vengal police station registered for the offence u/s 331(1), 62, 64(1), 75(1) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Ac.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to the previous enmity a false complaint has been lodge. The petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours. There was only wordy quarrel, she had given a false complaint, all are concocted story for implicating the petitioner in this case, he has been arrested he is in custody for the past 27 days, he has no bad antecedents, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant is a resident of Velliyur, wherein the petitioner is also a resident, that on 20.05.2026 at about 3.00 AM in the early morning, when the defacto complainant was sleeping in her house situated at JJ Nagar, Valliyoor, the petitioner trespassed into the house and tried to molest the defacto complainant and attempted to commit rape over that woman, since she woke up, screamed for help, the petitioner escaped from the spot, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioner was arrested on 21.05.2026 and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence which is against a woman,
 - (ii) premature stage,
 - (iii) serious accusation of the offence as against the petitioner u/s 75(1) of BNS
 - (iv) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 16th day of June,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s S. Sundar Kumar
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Vengal police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai