

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 8th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:1981/2026

1. Abdhul Kabeer, S/o Abdhul Azad
2. Siva, S/o Ramu

...Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police station
(Crime No: 316/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 01.06.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. Chandrasekar, M. Sathyasai Eswari the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioners who were remanded on 25.05.2026 in Crime No. 316/2026 on the file of Sholavaram police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS

The learned counsel for the petitioners argued that, petitioners are innocents, they have been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, petitioners were not present in the place of occurrence, the respondent police falsely implicated only since the petitioners belongs to a nearby area, no offence was committed, petitioners are unnamed accused in the FIR, only suspicion, they have been arrested he is in custody for the 15 days in this case, they are ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 25.05.2026 at about 11.00 PM, when the defacto complainant was coming near Gandhi Nagar overbridged, the petitioners waylaid him and demanded money for buying alcohol, when the defacto complainant refused to part way with money, they assaulted him and robbed Rs.800/- at knife point and escaped, he sustained injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioners were arrested on 25.05.2026, the 1st petitioner is having 20 previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioners state in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is still pending in preliminary stage and the 1st petitioner is having criminal antecedents with 20 previous cases.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence,
- (ii) Investigation is pending,
- (iii) Premature stage,
- (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 311 of BNS,
- (v) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the 1st petitioner is having 20 previous cases, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases against him would also be affected,
- (vi) And also considering other facts and circumstances
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 8th day June , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. Chandrasekar,
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri
The Inspector of police, Sholavaram police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai