

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 27th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:1004/2026

Victor, S/o Paramasivam

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Railway Police station
(Crime No: 241/2025)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 09.03.2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s L. Kumaresan, M. Muralidharan, and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 48 of BNSS to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.241/2025 on the file of Tiruvallur Railway Police station registered for the offence u/s 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS r/w 152, 153 of Indian Railways Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is an innocent student and since there was group clash between to the two college students only since the petitioner belong to a particular college, he has been falsely implicated by the respondent police, there is no damage caused, only based on the confession of co-accused the petitioner has been falsely implicated, all the co-accused were already granted bail and anticipatory bail by this Court, he has no bad antecedents, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 16.10.2025, the petitioner along with other accused during the group clash between Panhchayappa College with the students who belongs to Presidency College were in possession of stones and caused panic among the passengers and also threw stones over the train, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition /affidavit that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident and first offender. The injured person is already discharged.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence,
- (ii) that substantial part of investigation is completed,

- (iii) that there is no injury to anyone
 - (iv) that it appears substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (v) co-accused were already granted bail and anticipatory bail,
 - (vi) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a student , local resident and first offender,
 - (vii) that as per the representation of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner will cooperate for investigation and abide by condition,
 - (viii) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, Anticipatory bail is granted to petitioner in the event of his arrest and on execution of a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur. The petitioner shall surrender before the said Magistrate within 15 days from today and report and sign before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur daily at 5.30 PM for 30 days. The petitioner shall abide the condition as contemplated u/s 482 of BNSS. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala AIR 2005 SCR 5560.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 27th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s L. Kumaresan
Thiru. S.Murthy , Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Tiruvallur Railway police Station