

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 17th day of March , 2026

Crl.M.P.No:992/2026

1. Mekala Anji, S/o Ramu
2. Jegan, S/o Sathiyababu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Madhavaram Police station
(Crime No:80/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s D. Lemuvel, C. Murugan, R. Hariharan the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioners who were remanded on 06.02.2026 in Crime No.80/2026 on the file of Madhavaram police station registered for the offence u/s 304 of BNS. The bail petition u/s 480 of BNSS was dismissed by the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram in CMP No. 88/2026 dated 25.02.2025.

The learned counsel for the petitioners argued that, petitioners are innocents and they are in no way connected with the alleged offence, they were not at all present in the place of occurrence, only on suspicion and since they belong to other State, they have been falsely implicated and arrested in this case, the property of only one cellphone has already been recovered, they are in judicial custody for the past 40 days, petitioners are ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 05.02.2026 at about 9.30 AM, when the defacto complainant was travelling in a bus, near Madhavaram Rountana TASMAL in Madhavaram to Redhills Route Bus, Route No.281, the petitioners were also in the bus and they committed theft of Oppo cellphone from his pocket the defacto complainant suddenly caught hold of the petitioners and they were handed over to the police, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and petitioners were arrested on 06.02.2026, property recovered , the petitioners are having each one previous case and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is still pending
- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioners u/s 304 of BNS
- (iv) value of the property allegedly involved,
- (v) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioners are having a previous case, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in both the cases against them would also be affected,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 17th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s D. Lemuvel
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Madhavaram
The Inspector of police, Madhavaram police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai