

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday, the 18th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No: 988 /2026

Elumalai.R S/o Rajendran

.....Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Korattur Police Station,

(Crime No:152/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:09.03.2026 u/s.u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.Mukilan, K.S.Santhoshkuar, D.Sarathkumar, M.Nirmalkumar and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 06.03.2026 in Cr.No.152/2026 on the file of Korattur Police Station for the alleged offences u/s.126(2), 296(b), 125, 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, when the deface-complainant walking near Thathanguppam korattur bigboss wine shop, at the time of the petitioner waylaid the deface-complainant demanded money and robbed the amount of Rs.1000/- he has been falsely implicated for statistical purpose, the petitioner is in custody for 13 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and ready to abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting them bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 06.03.2026, when the deface-complainant walking near Thathanguppam korattur bigboss wine shop, at the time of the petitioner waylaid the deface-complainant demanded money and robbed the amount of Rs.2500/- at knife point and threatened him with dire consequences and escaped, based on complaint, FIR was registered and investigation is still pending. No previous case as against the petitioner.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case.

Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that the investigation is still pending and premature stage,

Therefore, on considering,

1. grave nature of offence,
2. investigation is still pending,
3. premature stage first remanded period is not itself completed,
4. serious accusation of the offence u/s. 311 of BNS as against the petitioner and also the other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 18th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.Mukilan,
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur,
The Inspector of Police, Korattur Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.