

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday, the 18th day of March , 2026

Crl.M.P.No:1002/2026

Selvi, W/o Mayandi

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruttani Police station
(Crime No: 84/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, D. Ranesh, D. Jagadeesan, , the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 17.02.2026 in Crime No. 84/2026 on the file of Tiruttani police station registered for the offence u/s 304(2), 62 of BNS. The previous bail petition was dismissed by this court in CMP No.933/26 dt. 07.03.2026

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, she has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, she has no bad antecedents, no offence was committed, only on suspicion, no property was committed theft, she has been falsely implicated in this case, the offence is triable only by the Judicial Magistrate, the petitioner is in custody for the past 30 days, this is the 2nd bail petition, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 17.02.2026 at about 4.30 PM, when the defacto complainant who is a field worker in the Dhanam Foundation was near his house and was in the temple , in the crowd, the petitioner along with another accused attempted to snatch her chain, she was caught by the people and handed over to the police, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 17.02.206, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending in preliminary stage .

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage,
- (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 304(2) BNS
- (v) value of the property allegedly involved,
- (vi) there is no change in circumstances, as the previous bail petition was dismissed only on 07.03.2026,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 18th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Tiruttani police Station
The Superintendent, Special Prison for Women, Puzhal, Chennai