

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 30th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:991/2026

Heram, S/o Ravi

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kadambathur, Police station
(Crime No: 59/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s E.K. Ramesh , M. Abel, M. Murali, S. Gomathinayagam, E.K.R. legal associates the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 07.03.2026 in Crime No. 59/2026 on the file of Kadambathur police station registered for the offence u/s 132, 310(4) of BNS r/w and section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in this case. Only due to wordy quarrel with the respondent police, he has been falsely implicated, there is no injury to anyone , the mere possession of knife does not constitute the offence under Arms Act. No offence was committed. Only since the petitioner did not comply the binding over of 110 Cr.P.c., police unnecessarily implicated the petitioner, there was wordy quarrel, The petitioner has no antecedents, he is in custody for the past 24 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 07.03.2026 at about 1.30 PM, based on the discreet information, when the respondent police was on routine surveillance near Pinjuvakkam river bridge, the petitioner along with other accused, was found in possession of sword like knife , and was threatening and abusing the public, and were planning to commit dacoity, when the police tried to restrain them, he threatened the police and abused them in filthy language, and threatened the defacto complainant thus, he was arrested and based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 07.03.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident and first offender. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 24 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence ,
- (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 24 days
- (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
- (iv) there is no injury to anyone during the alleged occurrence,
- (v) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
- (vi) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (vii) also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 30th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s E.K. Ramesh
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur.
The Inspector of police, Kadambathur police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai