

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Monday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**CrI.M.P.No:1000/2026**

Abinesh, S/o Kalaivanan,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Redhills Police station  
(Crime No: 86/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Loganathan, S. Poovarasan, A. Inbathamizhan, M. Manikandan, V. Dinesh Kumar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 11.02.2026 in Crime No. 86/2026 on the file of Redhills police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 324(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioners were not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since they are having some previous cases, only on suspicion, he has been falsely implicated in this case, property already recovered, co-accused was already granted bail by this Court in CMP No. 759/2026 dt. 07.03.2026, petitioner is in custody for the past 48 days, this is the 2<sup>nd</sup> bail petition, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 11.0.2026 at about 8.20 AM, when the defacto complainant was in his petty shop at the petitioner the petitioners along with other accused waylaid and demanded money, for which when he refused the petitioner assaulted him with knife and robbed Rs.750/- at knife point and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioners were arrested on 11.02.2026, the petitioner is having 3 previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 48 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
  - (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 48 days
  - (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
  - (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
  - (v) co-accused was already granted bail by this Court
  - (vi) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
  - (vii) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 30<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Loganathan  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri  
The Inspector of police, Redhills police Station  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai