

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Thursday, the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**CrI.M.P.No:998/2026**

B. Lokesh, S/o Balaji

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Pattabiram Police station  
(Crime No: 45/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, M. Velu, G. Aruldoss, M. Muthu, T. Jayaraman, and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 22.01.2026 in Crime No.45/2026 on the file of Pattabiram police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS .

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioners are innocents, he has been falsely implicated only due to wordy quarrel due to previous enmity the defacto complainant's son with the accused persons, , the injured person is already discharged, only on suspicion petitioner they have has been falsely implicated only since he is having previous cases, co-accused were granted bail by this Court in CMP No.417/2026 dated 16.02.2026 and Co-accused was granted bail by this Court in CMP No.920/2026 dated 06.03.2026., he is in judicial custody for 62 days, this is the 3<sup>rd</sup> bail petition, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 21.01.2026 at about 8.30 PM, when the defacto complainant's son was sitting near their house situated at due to previous enmity between them, the petitioner along with other accused came and assaulted him with knife, the defacto complainant's son sustained injuries, admitted in hospital, had 9 stitches on the head, 6 stitches over his back, and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , petitioners were arrested on 22.01.2026, the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner is having 6 previous cases, he had executed peace bond u/s 110 Cr.P.C. but during the period, he committed the offence, thereby breached the bond, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is permanent resident. Injured person is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 64 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence,
  - (ii) long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 64 days
  - (iii) that the injured person is already discharged,
  - (iv) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed
  - (v) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
  - (vi) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
  - (vii) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily by 10.00 a.m for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (AIR 2005 SCR 5560). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur  
The Inspector of police, Pattabiram police Station  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai