

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 17th day of March , 2026

CrI.M.P.No:996/2026

Chinna @ Imayan, s/o Chandran

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kadambathur Police station
(Crime No: 58/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 09.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. Anbarasan, M. Lingeshkumar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 05.03.2026 in Crime No. 58/2026 on the file of Kadambatur police station registered for the offence u/s 132, 310(4), r w 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since he is having some previous cases, only on suspicion, he has been falsely implicated in this case, no offence was committed, the FIR is a *sumo moto* FIR, all the witnesses are police officials, hence, there is no possibility of tampering the witnesses, the offence is triable only by the Judicial Magistrate, the petitioner is in custody for the past 13 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 05.03.2026 based on the discreet information , when the respondent police conducted surveillance near Senjipanambakkam Railway station, the petitioner was in possession of sword and threatening the public and abusing them in filthy language, hence, he was arrested on 05.03.2026 the petitioner is having two previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with two previous cases.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage,
- (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 25(1)(a) of Arms Act and 310(4) of BNS,
- (v) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having 2 previous cases, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases against him would also be affected,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 17th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. Anbarasan,
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police Kadambathur police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai