

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 23rd day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:980/2026

Deepak Babu, S/o Dilli Babu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirunindravur Police station
(Crime No: 75/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s V. Balaji, N.B. Dhilipan, D. Raghu the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 10.02.2026 in Crime No. 75/2026 on the file of Thirunindravur police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since he is having some previous cases, only on suspicion, he has been falsely implicated in this case only as preventive detention, property already recovered, he is in custody for the past 42 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 10.02.2026 at about 10 AM, when the defacto complainant was proceeding near Immanuel Church Junction, Thirunindravur, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid and demanded money, for which when he refused the petitioner assaulted him with knife and robbed Rs.1500/- at knife point and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 10.02.2026 the petitioner is having 3 previous cases and proposal is pending to detain him under Act 14 of 1982 the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with 3 previous case and also proposal is pending for detention under Goondas Act.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is still pending

- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 311 BNS
- (iv) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having 3 previous cases and also proposal is pending to for detention under Act 14 of 1982 , if he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases against him would also be affected,
- (v) there is no change in circumstances as this is the 2nd bail petition
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 23rd day of March,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s V. Balaji
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Thirunindravur police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai