

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 23rd day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:968/2026

K. Satheesh, S/o Kumar

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Madhuravoyal Police station
(Crime No: 43/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Sankarakutralingam, V. Premkumar, R. Deepak Kumar, S. Preeth, Ashash D.P. Andrew, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 05.02.2026 in Crime No.43/2026 on the file of Madhuravoyal police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 109(1) , 351(3) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act and section 3(a) of Explosive Substances Act,

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only since he is the friend of A1, there was matrimonial dispute between the defacto complainant and the Accused No.1, the petitioner did not accompany the Accused No.1 in this case to the place of occurrence, only since there was wordy quarrel arose between them, a false complaint has been lodged, no one is injured in this case, the petitioner only to wreck vengeance a false complaint has been lodged since the petitioner supported A1 to have compromise between A1 and the defacto complainant, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, he is in custody for the past 47 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that there was matrimonial dispute between Accused No.1 and his wife the defacto complainant herein, due to which there was frequent quarrel between them due to which the defacto complainant went to her parents house and on 04.02.2026 at about 12.15 AM midnight, A1 along with the petitioner , his friend went to the house of the defacto complainant situated and called the defacto complainant to come along with A1, since she refused, they threw petrol bomb over the house of defacto complainant , threatened her and escaped, the defacto complainant narrowly escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , the petitioner was arrested on 05.02.2026 the petitioner is having one previous case and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious in nature, and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with one previous case

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is still pending
- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 3(a) of Explosive Substance Act
- (iv) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having one previous case, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in both the cases as against him would also be affected
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 23rd day of March , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Sankarakutralingam
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee
The Inspector of police, Madhuravoyal police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai