

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 27th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:947/2026

1. Vijayakumar, S/o Kumar
2. Vinoth, S/o Kumar
3. Saravanan, S/o Kumar
4. Sangeetha, D/o Vadivelu

...Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirunindravur Police station,
(Crime No. 105/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 04.03.2026 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s E. Rajasekar, B. Rajasaravanan, N. Naveenkumar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No. 105/22026 on the file of Thirunindravur Police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(2), of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioners are innocent and it is a quarrel between the family members and the parties are brothers and sisters, only due to money dispute, the occurrence happened, the injured person is already discharged, the petitioners have no bad antecedents, petitioners are ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the petitioners are the relatives, that the accused persons have obtained loan of Rs.20,000/- and they have returned Rs.15,000/- and to be returned Rs.5,000/-, on 26.02.2026 in the evening when the defacto complainant saw the accused persons near the house of her mother, and asked them to return the money, they abused the defacto complainant , and assaulted her and her daughter with hands and threatened with dire consequences and during the assault, the thali saradu was found missing, based on the FIR was registered and the investigation is pending.

The petitioners state in this petition/affidavit that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending in preliminary stage and the defacto complainant has alleged that her gold jewel was found missing,

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence,
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage
- (iv) value of the property allegedly found missing ,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 27th day of March ,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s E. Rajasekar
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of Police, Thirunindravur Police station