

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Tmt. C. UMAMAHESHWARI, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)

Monday, the 16th day of March , 2026

CrI.M.P.No:954/2026

Thangaraj, S/o Ravi

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirumullaivoyal Police station
(Crime No: 24/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 05.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Dinesh, K. Harshavika, P. Kiruthika, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 25.01.2026 in Crime No. 24/2026 on the file of Thirumullaivoyal police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 109(1), r/w 3(5) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioners are innocents, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since he is having previous cases and friend of the co-accused , only based on the confession, he has been falsely implicated in this case, the injured person is already discharged, the petitioner is in custody for the past 51 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 25.01.2026 at about PM, when the defacto complainant's son was coming in a two wheeler near Thendral Nagar 10th cross street, Gandhi Street, Malliga house, due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid him and assaulted him with knife, he sustained injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, now continuing the treatment, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioner was arrested on 25.01.2026, the petitioner is having 3 previous cases, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioners state in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. The injured person is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 51 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
- (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 51 days
- (iii) That the injured person is already discharged
- (iv) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
- (v) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
- (vi) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (vii) considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 16th day of March, 2026

Sd./- C. Umamaheshwari
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur(i/c)

//t.c.f.b.o.//

Sherishtadar

Copy to (through email)

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Dinesh
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate , Ambattur
The Inspector of police, Thirumullaivoyal police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai