

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**CrI.M.P.No:929/2026**

Ponraj S/o Ramasamy,

.....Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Ambattur Police Station,

(Crime No:73/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated:04.03.2026 u/s.u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.K.Suriya, J.Charles Fransis, D.Udhayakumar and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s.483 of B.N.S.S seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 28.02.2026 in Crime No.73/2026 on the file of Ambattur police station registered for the offence u/s. 274, 275, 123 of BNS r/w 24(1) of COTPA Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent, he had not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, the petitioner having illegal possession of 96 of KG banned tobacco products, he had judicial custody for the past 13 days, he had no bad antecedents, the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offences, the petitioner had been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner is ready to co-operate for investigation and to abide any condition imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioner and argued based on discreet information on 25.02.2026 at 08.45 Hrs, when the respondent police was on routine checking at ambattur blue factory, the petitioner car TN 11 AK 4685, at the time of the petitioner was found in illegal possession of banned tobacco products worth about Rs.3,00,000/-, for the purpose of selling in higher rates, which cause injuries to public health, all the contrabands were seized and arrested on 25.02.2026, investigation is still pending and proposal for detaining in under act 14 of 1982 is also pending.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also

not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that the proposal for detaining in under act 14 of 1982 is also pending and investigation is pending. Huge value of property allegedly involved in this case,

Therefore, on considering,

1. grave nature of offence,
2. investigation is still pending,
3. premanant stage first remand period is not itself not completed,
4. huge value of property allegedly involved in this case,
5. that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that proposal for detaining in under act 14 of 1982 is also pending.
6. serious accusation of the offence u/s. 123 of BNS as against the petitioner and also the other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to:-**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.K.Suriya,  
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,  
The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur,  
The Inspector of Police, Ambattur Police Station.  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.