

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Tmt. C. UMAMAHESHWARI, M.L.,**  
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)

**Friday, the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**CrI.M.P.No:937/2026**

Thamizhselvan, S/o Thalpathi

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Sevvapet Police station  
(Crime No: 12/2026 )

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 04.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. Paranthaman, P. Venkatesan, N. Balachandar, L. Vidyabathy, C. Austinsam Moris, K. Nirmal, Legal Aid Defence counsel, DLSA Tiruvallur the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 13.01.2026 in Crime No.12/2026 on the file of Sevvapet police station registered for the offence u/s 115(2), 125, 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose only due to some previous cases, , the petitioner he has been falsely implicated, even the FIR was registered during Pongal festival and only for preventive detention, a false case has been foisted as against the petitioner, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, he is in custody for the past 60 days, this is the 2<sup>nd</sup> bail petition petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 13.01.2026 at about 1.15 PM, when the defacto complainant was coming near Thotttikalai TAASMAC Shop, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid and demanded money , when he refused, they assaulted him with hands and robbed Rs.900/- at knife point , hurled stones over the public and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , the petitioner was arrested on 13.01.2026 the petitioner is having 11 previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 60 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
- (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 60 days
- (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
- (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
- (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (vi) considering his medical and health condition and other facts and circumstances,  
this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.00 AM and 5.30 PM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026

**Sd./- C. Umamaheshwari**  
**Principal District and Sessions Judge,**  
**Tiruvallur(i/c)**

//t.c.f.b.o.//

**Sherishtadar**

**Copy to (through email)**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. Paranthaman (LADC), DLSA, Tiruvallur  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur  
The Inspector of police, Sevvapet police Station  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai