

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Wednesday, the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**CrI.M.P.No:949/2026**

Simbu, S/o Seenivasan

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Sholavaram Police station  
(Crime No: 265/2022)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 04.03.2026 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. K. Ilavarasan, S. Gowthamar, S. Thirugnanam, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.265/2022 on the file of Arambakkam police station registered for the offence u/s 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioners are innocents, been falsely implicated in this case, this case is of the year 2022, injured was discharged, co-accused were already released on bail, the charge sheet is about to be filed, the custodial interrogation is not necessary, all the offences are triable by Judicial Magistrate, the petitioner is not even aware of the case, hence, he did not file application for anticipatory bail, petitioner ready to cooperate for investigation and also for trial, and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 09.04.2022 at about 4.00 Pm, near Kamarpalayam near Temple, due to previous enmity, under the guise of compromising the matter, the petitioner along with other accused took the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with knife, he sustained injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, two accused were already arrested and the charge sheet is to be filed

The petitioners state in this petition /affidavit that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident and first offender. It appears that substantial part of investigation would have been completed, as this case is of the year 2022.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence, which are punishable with imprisonment only upto 7 years,
- (ii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the case is of the year 2022,

- (iii) co-accused were already released on bail
- (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident and first offender,
- (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (vi) and also considering other facts and circumstances,  
this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, Anticipatory bail is granted to petitioner in the event of his arrest and on execution of a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri. The petitioners shall surrender before the said Magistrate within 15 days from today and report and sign before Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri daily at 10.30AM for 30 days. The petitioners shall abide the condition as contemplated u/s 482 of BNSS. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala AIR 2005 SCW 5560.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. K. Ilavarasan  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri  
The Inspector of police, Sholavaram police Station