

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 10th day of March , 2026

CrI.M.P.No:915/2026

Magesh, So Gopal

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiruvallangadu Police station
(Crime No: 14/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 03.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, D. Ranesh , K. Prasathkumar, D. Jagadeean, M. Ramadoss, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 26.02.2026 in Crime No. 14/2026 on the file of Thiruvallangadu station registered for the offence u/s 326(f) of BNS @ 326(g) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only on suspicion, due to the wordy quarrel arose between the other accused and the defacto complainant, since he is known to the other accused, even in the FIR, the name of the accused is not mentioned , it was only a fire accident, but the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case only due to previous enmity, he is ready to deposit the damage amount, he is in custody for the past 13 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 01.02.2026 at about 4.00 PM , due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused set fire of the defacto complainant's workshop situated near LD Bank, MNR Car shed at Thiruvallangaddu, causing damage to the value of Rs.50,000/- based on the complaint, FIR was registered u/s 326(f) of BNS , the petitioner was arrested on 26.02.2026 and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is pending

- (iii) premature stage, as the first remand period itself is not completed,
 - (i) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 326(g) of BNS.
 - (ii) huge value of the damage allegedly caused,
 - (iii) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 10th day of March,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Thiruivalangadu police Station
The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Tiruvallur