

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 9th day of March , 2026

CrI.M.P.No:909/2026

Suresh Babu, S/o Veerabathiram,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thirunindravur Police station
(Crime No: 87/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 03.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s G. Mohanram, V.Hari, M. Sureshbabu, S.Naveenkumar, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 14.02.2026 in Crime No.87/2026 on the file of Thirunindravur police station registered for the offence u/s 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii) (A) of NDPS Act, r/w 132 of BNS.,The Bail petition u/s 480 of BNSS was dismissed by the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur in CMP No.786/2026 dated 24.02.2026.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose and due to some previous cases, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, he has been falsely implicated in this case, all the contrabands were already seized, he is in custody for the past 24 days, all the offences are triable by Judicial Magistrate, he has no bad antecedents, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 14.02.2026 at about 7.45 PM, based on the discreet information, when the Sub Inspector of Police, respondent police station was on surveillance near Nathamedu Lakeside, the petitioner was found in possession of 100 grams of Ganja, worth about Rs.1000/- hence, he was arrested on 14.02.2026 contrabands were seized, the petitioner is having 4 previous cases and the investigation is still pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 23 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence,
 - (ii) long duration of custody of the petitioners for the 23 days
 - (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed
 - (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a permanent resident
 - (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners being local residents will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (vi) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioners on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioners to sign before the respondent police daily by 10.00 a.m for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (AIR 2005 SCR 5560). If the petitioners abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 9th day of March , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s G. Mohanram
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Thirunindravur police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai