

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 6th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:921/2026

Sasikanth, S/o Mani

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pattabiram Police station
(Crime No: 45/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 03.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, M. velu, G. Aruldoss, M. Muthu, T. Jayaraman, and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 18.02.2026 in Crime No.45/2026 on the file of Pattabiram police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS .

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to wordy quarrel due to previous enmity the defacto complainant's son with the accused persons, , the injured person is already discharged, only on suspicion petitioner they have has been falsely implicated, petitioners have no bad antecedents, co-accused were granted bail by this Court in CMP No.417/2026 dated 16.02.2026, they are in judicial custody for 17 days, this is the 2nd bail petition, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 21.01.2026 at about 8.30 PM, when the defacto complainant's son was sitting near their house situated at due to previous enmity between them, the petitioner along with other accused came and assaulted him with knife, the defacto complainant's son sustained injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , petitioner was arrested on 18.02.2026 petitioner is having 3 previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with a previous cases

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
 - (ii) Investigation is still pending
 - (iii) Serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 109 of BNS
 - (iv) That the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having 3 previous cases, he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases against him would also be affected,
 - (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 6th day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Pattabiram police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai