

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Tmt. C. UMAMAHESHWARI, M.L.,**  
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)  
**Monday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**  
**CrI.M.P.No:886/2026**

Karthik Lal Harijan, S/o Satanu Lal Harijan

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Gummidipoondi Police station  
(Crime No:238/2025)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 02.03.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Anbarasu, D. Magesh, E. Sudhakaran, G. Suresh , the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 10.10.2025 in Crime No. 238/2025 on the file of Gummidipoondi police station registered for the offence u/s 103 of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only on suspicion, only since there was a quarrel between the petitioner and the deceased, the petitioner has been arrested, he is in custody for the past 158 days, charge sheet has already been filed and is yet to be taken on file only due to some defects, it was returned, petitioner is ready to cooperate for trial and committal proceedings, and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant is the Village Administrative Officer, lodged the complaint on 09.10.2025 that near Gummidipoondi Gandhi Nagar Sai Babu temple opposite in the house belonged to one Kotti, one person belonged to Bihar was found dead with injuries, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and on the date of occurrence, the accused due to the money dispute, assaulted the deceased with knife, he sustained injuries, and died on the spot, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, petitioner was arrested on 10.10.2025, and the charge sheet has been filed and taken on file in PRC No.1/2026.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is completed and case has already been taken on file in PRC No.1/2026. Hence, it appears further incarceration of petitioner would serve no purpose.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 158 days
- (ii) that the charge sheet has already been filed.
- (iii) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a permanent resident
- (iv) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being permanent resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,  
this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi daily by 10.30 a.m for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court reported in *AIR 2005 SCW 5560 (P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala)*. If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Sd./- C. Umamaheshwari**  
**Principal District and Sessions Judge,**  
**Tiruvallur(i/c)**

//t.c.f.b.o.//

**Sherishtadar**

**Copy to (through email)**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Anbarasu  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Gummidipoondi  
The Inspector of police, Gummidipoondi police Station  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai