

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Friday, the 27<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**

**Cr.L.M.P.No: 876/2026**

Maruthi Prasad @ Maruthi Prasanth S/o.Kathirvel

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Kanakammachathiram Police Station  
(Crime No: 58/2026 )

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 27/02/2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.D.Ranesh and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Cr.No.58/2026 on the file of Kanakammachathiram Police Station for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, this case is in counter, there was a quarrel against between the defacto complainant and the petitioner due to land dispute, the petitioner is a innocent, no way connected with the alleged offences, no one is injured and hospitalized, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and is ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioners and argued that, the defacto complainant and the petitioner's friend already had land dispute with them and the civil case also pending in the Tiruttani Court, due to previous enmity, on 25.02.2026 at 07.30 a.m., while the defacto complainant's father was watering his plants in his agriculture land, where the petitioner and along with other accused came and abused the defacto complainant's father and assaulted him with with hands, wooden log and stone and threatened the defacto complainant's father and his mother with dire consequences, the defacto complainant's father sustained injuries taken to hospital treated later discharged, based on the complaint FIR was registered and investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the petitioner is a local resident and also a first offender. All the offences are punishable with imprisonment only upto 7 years. Thus, it does not appear that custodial interrogation of petitioners would be necessary.

Therefore, on considering

1. substantial part of investigation is completed,
2. that the injured had discharged,
3. the petitioner is as local resident and also first offender,
4. the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner is a local residents and also first of-fender will cooperate for enquiry and will abide by any condition,
5. and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, Anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner in the event of his arrest and on execution of a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate Thiruthani. The petitioner shall surrender before the said Magistrate within 15 days from today and report before the respondent police daily by 10.00 AM for 30 days. The petitioner shall abide the condition as contemplated u/s 482 BNSS Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala AIR 2005 SCR 5560.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to:-**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.D.Ranesh  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate Thiruttani  
The Inspector of police, Kanakammachathiram Police Station