

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 06th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:873 /2026

Logesh @ Ettaiya S/o Settu,

.....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Thiruverkadu Police Station,

(Crime No:13/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:27.02.2026 u/s.u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.D.Udhayakumar, K.Sathesh and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 14.01.2026 in Crime No.13/2026 on the file of Thiruverkadu police station registered for the offence u/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 125, 324(2), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, this is 3rd bail petition, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since he is having some previous cases, he has been falsely implicated in this case, property already recovered, he is in custody for the past 52 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 14.01.2026 at about 5.30 AM, when the defacto complainant was coming near Poonamallee Bypass Service Road Prem Teashop, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid and demanded money, when he refused, at that the petitioner assaulted him with knife and robbed Rs.18,000/- at knife point and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , the petitioner was arrested on 14.01.2026 the petitioner is having ten previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case.

Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with ten previous cases. There are every likelihood, if released on bail, he would commit similar or other offences,

Therefore, on considering

(i) grave nature of offence

(ii) investigation is pending

(iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 311 BNS

(iv) value of the amount allegedly involved, which is not yet recovered,

(v) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having ten previous cases, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases as against him would also be affected

(vi) there is no change in circumstances previous bail dismissed on 17.02.2026.

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 06th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.D.Udhayakumar,
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruverkadu Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.