

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur
Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026
Crl.M.P.No: 856/2026

Alamelu W/o.Jayakumar

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Manavalanagar Police Station

(Crime No: 46/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 26/02/2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.D.Sundaravadivelu, G.Suriyaprakash and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.46/2026 of Manavalanagar Police Station, for the alleged offense u/s 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, this is the 2nd bail petition, there was only a wordy quarrel arose between the defacto complainant and the petitioner, the petitioner is a innocent, no way connected with the alleged offences, no one is injured and hospitalized, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and is ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioner and argued that, the defacto complainant is having a dry fish shop at Tiruvallur old small bridge near railway station, on 11.02.2026 at 9.45 a.m., while the defacto complainant was in her shop, where the petitioner sold the banned bottles nearby her shop which was objected by the defacto complainant, there was a quarrel arose between them they assaulted each other, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log, and threatened her with dire consequence, the defacto complainant sustained injuries taken to the Tiruvallur Govt hospital admitted for treatment later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and investigation is pending. The petitioner is having 5 previous cases.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the alleged offences are serious in nature and petitioner is having 5 previous cases and as per the representation of the Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending.

Therefore, on considering

1. grave nature of offence,
2. investigation is still pending,
3. the serious nature of accusation of offence as against petitioner,
4. as per the representation of the learned public prosecutor, since the petitioner is having 5 previous case, if she is released and then absconds the investigation in other cases as against her would be affected,
5. there is no change of circumstances,
6. and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.D.Sundaravadivelu
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No II Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Manavalanagar Police Station