

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 06th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:850/2026

Shanmuga Sundaram S/o Manthiram,

.....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,

(Crime No:29/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:26.02.2026 u/s.u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.R.Rajeshkumar, M.Priya devi, M.Gnanaprakash and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 04.02.2026 in Cr.No.29/2026 on the file of Tirumullaivoyal Police Station for the alleged offences u/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 311, 125, 324(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPHW Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, when the deface-complainant was in his house, at the time of the petitioner came the deface-complainant's house, demanded money and robbed the amount of Rs.2150/- he has been falsely implicated for statistical purpose, the petitioner is in custody for 31 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and ready to abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting them bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 03.02.2026 at about 21.30 Hrs, when the deface-complainant was proceeding to deface-complainant's house, at the time of the petitioner demanded money and robbed the amount of Rs.2150/- at knife point and threatened him with dire consequences, pulled hair from deface-complainant's mother and escaped, based on complaint, FIR was registered and investigation is still pending. There is one previous case as against the petitioner.

Therefore, on considering

1. nature of offence,
2. long duration of custody of the petitioner for the past 31 days,
3. substantial part of investigation would be completed,
4. that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is local resident,

5. the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
6. and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate Ambattur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily twice by 10.00 a.m and 5.30 pm for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (AIR 2005 SCW 5560). If the petitioner abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 06th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.R.Rajeshkumar,
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur
The Inspector of Police, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.