

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Wednesday, the 18<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**Crl.M.P.No: 853/2026**

Churchill @ Loyola Rosario Churchill, S/o Antony Raj

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Pudur Police station

(Crime No.not known /2026 )

(E-13056573)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 26.02.2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s L.Govindaraj, G.Babu Ganesh , and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.not known/2026 of T-4 Pudur police station for the offence u/s 420 IPC

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the alleged offence, no FIR is registered , the respondent police is threatening even though the petitioner very well cooperated for enquiry on 24.02.2026 before the respondent police, , he had no intention for evading enquiry, only due to practical constraints he sought time for production of documents which are electronic records , the entire complaint is false and there is no specific overtact as against petitioner, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the petitioner is ready to cooperate for enquiry, investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that actually 26 complaints were received by the respondent police that fake appointment orders were issued for Government hospitals and Rs.28 lakhs were received, for which notice served upon the petitioner , they have asked for time to submit documents, but not submitted the documents, FIR is yet to be registered,

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, enquiry is pending in preliminary stage and FIR is yet to be registered, . Further the petitioner failed to produce necessary documents.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) preliminary stage of the enquiry,
- (ii) enquiry is pending
- (iii) premature stage, as FIR is yet to be registered
- (iv) serious accusation of alleged offence as against the petitioner
- (v) that as per the representation of the Public prosecutor, petitioner has not cooperated for enquiry as he has not submitted the documents,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s L.Govindaraj  
Thiru. S.Murthy , Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate , Ambattur  
The Inspector of Police, Pudur Police station