

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 27th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No: 834/2026

1. T.K.Nadiya C/o.Gunasekaran
2. Kothandam S/o.Venkatesan

....Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Manavalanagar Police Station
(Crime No: 45/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 25/02/2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioners

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s.K.Pradhap, C.S.Surendar, R.Duraivel, S.Gowthaman and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners who apprehends arrest in Cr.No.45/2026 on the file of Manavalanagar Police Station for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioners argued that, there was a quarrel against between the defacto complainant and the petitioners due to construct the building, the petitioners are innocents, no way connected with the alleged offences, no one is injured and hospitalized, the petitioners have no bad antecedents, the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case and is ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioners and argued that, the defacto complainant is a Engineer and running YSK Construction and petitioners are owner of the building, the defacto complainant construct the building for the petitioners and the petitioners not settled the due amount, regarding with that on 11.02.2026 at 10.00 a.m., while the defacto complainant went and asked about the new workers in the place, and asked about his due amount, where the petitioners came and abused him with filthy language, there was a quarrel arose between them, both side assaulted each other, both side case registered no one get major injuries based on the complaint FIR was registered and investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the 1st petitioner is being a lady and the petitioners are local residents and also first offenders. All the offences are punishable with imprisonment only upto 7 years. Thus, it does not appear that custodial interrogation of petitioners would be necessary.

Therefore, on considering

1. substantial part of investigation is completed,
2. that the injured had discharged,
3. the 1st petitioner is being a lady and the petitioners are local residents and also first offenders.
4. the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioners are local residents and also first offenders will cooperate for enquiry and will abide by any condition,
5. and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, Anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner in the event of his arrest and on execution of a bond each for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No II Thiruvallur. The petitioners shall surrender before the said Magistrate within 15 days from today and report before the respondent police daily by 10.00 AM for 30 days. The petitioners shall abide the condition as contemplated u/s 482 BNSS Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala AIR 2005 SCR 5560.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 27th day of March 2026.

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.K.Pradhap
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No II Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Manavalanagar Police Station