

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Thursday, the 12th day of March , 2026

CrI.M.P.No:808/2026

Karthick, S/o Thangavelu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police station , Avadi
(Crime No: 3/3026

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 24.02.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Saravanan, M. Saravanapriya, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 22.02.2026 in Crime No.3/2026 on the file of All Women police station , Avadi registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 115(1), 69, 79, 351(2) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police. Only since the defacto complainant had dispute with the petitioner even after betrothal, there was rift between them, the defacto complainant had intention to grab only money from the petitioner, but the defacto complainant in a hurried manner has lodged a false complaint, and he is in judicial custody for the past 19 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the petitioner and the defacto complainant had proposal for marriage and with the consent of both side, betrothal was conducted on 24.10.2025 and the marriage was fixed on 22.02.2026, after the betrothal, the petitioner insisted for physical relationship and without the consent and under compulsion, the petitioner has sexual relationship with the defacto complainant several times and after the physical relationship, now the petitioner has refused to marry the petitioner for no reason, hence, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 22.02.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence which is against a woman,
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage, as the first remand period itself is not completed,
- (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioners u/s 69 , 79 of BNS
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12th day of March,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Saravanan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I Poonamallee
The Inspector of police, All Women police Station , Avadi
The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Tiruvallur