

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 06th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:782 /2026

Aravindhnan S/o Subash Sagayaraj,

.....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Ambattur Police Station,

(Crime No:38/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:23.02.2026 u/s.u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.A.Amulraj and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 03.02.2026 in Cr.No.38/2026 on the file of Ambattur Police Station for the alleged offences u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 125, 324(2), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, at the time of the petitioner waylaid the deface-complainant and robbed the amount of Rs.650/- he has been falsely implicated for statistical purpose, the petitioner is in custody for 32 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and ready to abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting them bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 03.02.2026 at about 06.30 Hrs, deface-complainant is walking near anandhi mechanic shop, when the petitioner and co-accused waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed the amount of sum Rs.650/- at knife point and threatened him with dire consequences and escaped, based on complaint, FIR was registered and investigation is still pending. There is two previous case as against the petitioner.

As per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor the petitioner is having two previous cases and investigation is still pending ,

Therefore, on considering,

1. grave nature of offence,
2. investigation is still pending,

3. that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having two previous cases, if he is released and absconds, investigation in all cases as against him would also be affected,
4. serious accusation of the offence u/s. 311 of BNS as against the petitioner and also the other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 06th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.A.Amulraj,
Thiru.S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur,
The Inspector of Police, Ambattur Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.