

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**Crl.M.P.No:701/2026**

Vignesh @ Viji, S/o Sadhasivam,

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Redhills Police station  
(Crime No: 81/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 17.02.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P.Chandrasekar, M. Sathyasai Eswari, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 08.02.2026 in Crime No. 81/2026 on the file of Sholavaram police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since he is having some previous cases, only on suspicion, he has been falsely implicated in this case, property already recovered, he is in custody for the past 33 days, petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 1.02.2026 at about 08.02.2026 at about 5.45 AM, when the defacto complainant was proceeding towards a tea stall shop near Vadaperumbakkam Kanniyamman temple junction, the petitioner waylaid and demanded money, for which when he refused the petitioner assaulted him with knife and robbed Rs.300/- at knife point and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 08.02.2026 the petitioner is having 7 previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with 7 previous cases. There are every likelihood that if granted bail and released, he would commit similar or other offences.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
  - (ii) investigation is still pending
  - (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 311 BNS
  - (iv) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having 7 previous cases, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in all the cases against him would also be affected,
  - (v) there is no change in circumstances,
  - (vi) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P.Chandrasekar  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri  
The Inspector of police, Redhills police Station  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai