

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday, the 18th day of March , 2026

Crl.M.P.No: 526/2026

Venkataramana, S/o Kuppamandhadi

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pallipet Police station
(Crime No.5/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 05.02.2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, D. Ranesh, K. Prasathkumar, D. Jagadesan, and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.5/2026 of Pallipet police station for the offence u/s 296(b), 79, 351(3) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent and he is no way connected with the alleged offence only due to some wordy quarrel in the place of occurrence due to money dispute, a civil suit is also pending which was filed in OS20/2024 before Pallipet Munsif Court, both the parties are neighbours, a false complaint was lodged, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 10.01.2026 at about 8.30 PM, the petitioner who is a resident of neighbor of defacto complainant had quarreled with the defacto complainant since the petitioner abused the defacto complainant, when the defacto complainant questioned him, he abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also opened his pant zip and stood naked and also threatened the defacto complainant, hence based on the complaint, FIR was registered and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence which is against a woman,
 - (ii) investigation is pending
 - (iii) premature stage,
 - (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 79 of BNS
 - (v) there is no change in circumstances,
 - (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 18th day of March, 2026.

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan
Thiru. S.Murthy , Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Muncif cum Judicial Magistrate , Pallipet
The Inspector of Police, Pallipet Police station