

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 6th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No: 408/2026

Sivakumar @ Siva S/o.Chinnasami

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

R.K.Pet Police Station

(Crime No: 20/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 29/01/2026 u/s 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioners

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s.R.Loganathan, S.Poovarasam, A.Inbatamizhan, M.Manikandan, V.Dinesh Kumar and the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners who apprehends arrest in Cr.No.20/2026 on the file of R.K.Pet Police Station for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 118(1) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the defacto complainant and the petitioners are neighbors, there was only a wordy quarrel arose between petitioner and the de-facto complainant, the petitioner is a innocent no way connected with the alleged offences, no one is injured and hospitalized, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and are ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioner and argued that, the defacto complainant and the petitioner are relatives, on 18.01.2026 at 11.30 a.m., while the defacto complainant was returned to his house coming near Puthurmedu near graveyard, there was quarrel arose between them they assaulted each other, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant with filthy language, and assaulted the defacto complainant with hands and small knife the defacto complainant sustained injuries , taken to hospital treated later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the petitioner is a local resident and also first offender. All the offences are punishable with imprisonment only upto 7 years. Thus, it does not appear that custodial interrogation of petitioners would be necessary.

Therefore, on considering

1. substantial part of investigation is completed,
 2. that the injured had discharged,
 3. the petitioner is a local resident and also first offender.
 4. the representation of the learned counsel for the the petitioner is a local resident and also first of-fender will cooperate for enquiry and will abide by any condition,
 5. and also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, Anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioners in the event of his arrest and on execu-tion of a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallipattu. The petitioner shall surrender before the said Magistrate within 15 days from today and report before the respondent police daily by 10.00 AM for 30 days. The petitioner shall abide the condition as contemplated u/s 482 BNSS Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala AIR 2005 SCR 5560.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 6th day of March 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.R.Loganathan
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallipattu
The Inspector of police, R.K.Pet Police Station