

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Saturday, the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**CrL.M.P.No:172/2026**

Williams, S/o Shanmugam

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Vanagaram Police station  
(Crime No: 267/2025 )

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 08.01.2026 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s R. Sundaramoorthy, Arul Devakumaran , the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No. 267/2025 on the file of Vanagaram police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 79 , 351(1) of BNS r/w 67A of IT Act

There is no representation for the petitioner since the date of first hearing, i.e. from 19.01.2026. hence, orders passed on merits.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant lodged the complaint alleging that in respect of some dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant regarding money, there was compromise and settlement, since the settlement was not made, there was quarrel happened, in which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant and also asked her to fulfill his lust and sent messages through whatsapp, thus based on the complaint, FIR was registered u/s 296(b), 79 of BNS r/w 67A of IT Act, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious in nature/

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence which is against a woman,
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage,
- (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 79 of BNS and 67A of IT Act
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March,  
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Sundaramoorthy  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee  
The Inspector of police Vanagaram police Station