

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF, LALGUDI**

Present: Thiru.S.Pragatheeshwaran, M.A.,B.L.,  
Principal District Munsif,  
Lalgudi.

Thursday the 04th day of June 2026

**IA.No.18/2026 in OS.No.263/2015**

1) Jeyalakshmi (died)

2) Baskar

.....Petitioner/Plaintiff

VS

1) Anthonysamy

2) Arockiasamy

3) Amul

....Respondents/Defendants

This petition has come up for final hearing on 04.06.2026 before this court in the presence of Advocate Mr.Ramesh Natarajan learned counsel of these Petitioner and in the presence of Advocate Mr.P.Ramamoorthy learned counsel of this Respondents, upon perusal of material records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivers the following.....

**ORDER**

Petition filed Under Order 7 Rule 14 (3) of CPC

1) On perusal of this petition that the petitioner filed this petition to receive the additional documents on their side. The documents produced along this petition is necessary to prove the case of the petitioner. The petitioner has further submitted that this suit is filed by the plaintiffs for the relief of Declaration and other reliefs, and further submitted that to prove that Ex.A10 is pertaining to the suit property and SF.No.382/4 is not connected with the suit property, the documents such as sale deed dated: 14.09.1988, 16.04.2009 and computerized patta pertaining to SF.No.16/4A, 16/4B1, 16/4B2 and 16/4B3 are necessary and relevant documents. Hence, he prayed for allow this petition.

2) On the other hand, the respondent stated that this petition is not maintainable and the documents relied by the petitioners are not related to the suit property. The said documents were filed belately and no sufficient cause for non filing of the said documents along with plaint was not explained. Moreover, when the alterations seen in Ex.A10 was not found in registration copy the said alteration were subsequent to registration. Therefore, the said alteration cannot be taken into account. Hence, this petition required to the dismissed.

**3) Now the question before this court that whether this petition is liable to be allowed or not?**

4) The petitioner filed the suit for Declaration and other reliefs against the respondents. In which, the petitioner filed this petition to receive the additional documents on their side, i.e, sale deeds and computerized patta.

5) As per Under Order 7 Rule 14 (3) of CPC the documents relied by the petitioners may be received in evidence with the leave of the court.

6) Considering rival submissions, this court has found that, if the petitioner has explained sufficient cause for non production of certain documents along with plaint, with the leave of the court, the same may be received in evidence. In the present case, the reason adduced by the petitioner/Plaintiff are satisfactory, and considering that fair opportunity should be given to plaintiff/petitioner to prove his assertion, and moreover admissibility of the document alone to be considered at this stage, the proof and relevancy of the document ought to be adjudicated at the time of conclusion of trial only. Therefore, this court is inclined to allow this petition in the interest of justice.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

This order dictated by me to the steno-typist typed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this 04th day of June 2026.

District Munsif,  
Lalgudi.

Petitioners side witness and side evidence: No  
Respondents side witness and side evidence: No

District Munsif,  
Lalgudi.