

State of Haryana versus Monu & Anr.

Present: - Sh. Parveen Kumar, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for State.
Accused Monu on bail represented by Sh. Jagbir Singh, Advocate.
Accused Anish on bail represented by Sh. Vikram Singh, Advocate.

Statements of accused persons under Section 313 of Cr.P.C. recorded wherein accused chose not to lead any evidence. Now, to come upon after lunch session for arguments.

Announced:
08.07.2026

Nidhi Solanki,
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Charkhi Dadri, UID No. HR0353

State of Haryana versus Monu & Anr.

Present: Sh. Parveen Kumar, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for State.
Accused Monu on bail represented by Sh. Jagbir Singh, Advocate.
Accused Anish on bail represented by Sh. Vikram Singh, Advocate.

File put up after lunch. Arguments heard. Order/judgment pronounced.

Vide my separate judgment of even date, accused have been acquitted of charges framed against them for commission of offence punishable under Sections 323, 341 and 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short IPC), by extending benefit of doubt in their favour. Their bail bonds and surety bonds stand extended for a period of 6 months for the purpose of Section 437-A of Cr.P.C. File be consigned to record-room after due compliance.

Announced:

08.07.2026

gagan kumar

Nidhi Solanki,

Chief Judicial Magistrate,

Charkhi Dadri, UID No. HR0353