

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Monday, the 8th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. No. 1970 / 2026

Hariharan, aged 49/26, S/o. Subramaniyan

...Petitioner

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Lalgudi P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 187/2026

...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. C.Gowthaman, for the petitioner and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for bail filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioner for the offences u/s. 281, 125(a), and 106(1) of BNS and u/s.185 of the Tamil Nadu Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989. Subsequently, the sections were altered as u/s.281, 125(a) and 105 of BNS. and u/s.185 of the Tamil Nadu Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989. The occurrence happened on 10.05.2026. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 11.05.2026 onwards.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any such offence and hence prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that it is a case of road accident and the petitioner is the driver of the vehicle and one person was dead and three persons were injured in the accident and the injured are inpatients in the hospital till now and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the investigation is pending and objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Both side heard. Records perused. On perusal of records, it would show that when the de-facto complainant and his friends were on foot pilgrimage, the petitioner drove his

Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing No.TN 50 AY 5859 in a rash and negligent manner in a drunken mood, without blowing horn, dashed against the de-facto complainant and his friends and caused death to one Abinash and grievous injuries to the de-facto complainant and his other friends. The occurrence happened on 10.05.2026. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 11.05.2026 onwards. By this time, major portion of the investigation might have been completed. Considering the period of custody of the petitioner and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail with the following conditions ;

1. The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on executing his own bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
2. The petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., for a period of 30 days.
3. The petitioner/accused shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
4. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. The Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner/accused was released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.
7. If the petitioner/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 8th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
3. The Inspector of Police, Lalgudi P.S., Tiruchirappalli.