

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Saturday, the 6th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. No.1960 / 2026

Shakila Banu(A3), aged 48/26, W/o. Abdul Asath

...Petitioner

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Ariyamangalam P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 100/2026

...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. S. Vijayan, for the petitioner and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail filed u/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioner and other accused for the offences u/s.123 and 278 of BNS. The occurrence happened on 18.05.2026.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any such offences and co-accused were granted bail by the vacation court and hence, prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner along with the other accused was in possession of 1030 drug tablets and syringe and the property has been recovered by the respondent police and the quantity involved in this case is very huge and 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and the investigation is pending and objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records it is alleged that at the time of raid, it was found that the petitioner and other 2 accused were in the possession of 1030 drug tablets and a sterile water bottle with a syringe and the 1st accused confessed that they bought the drug tablets from the 3rd accused for sale and selling the same with

higher profit to youngsters, workers and small scale business man through the other accused and the property was recovered by the respondent police. Considering the overt act against the petitioner and considering the quantity involved in this case and also, she got previous cases and considering the stage of the investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Hence, the application for anticipatory bail is dismissed.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 6th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, Ariyamangalam P.S., Tiruchirappalli.