

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Thursday, the 4th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. No. 1910 / 2026

P. Chandramohan, aged 67/26, S/o. Palani

...Petitioner

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
DCB P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 20/2021

...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. Dr. Venkhai R. Raja, for the petitioner and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for bail filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against 8 named accused for the offences u/s.419, 420, 423, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC. The occurrence happened on 20.03.2019. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 15.05.2026 onwards. As per the reply given by the respondent police, the petitioner is arrayed as A1 in this case.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and hence prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner impersonated as the father of the defacto complainant and on investigation, on the basis of the voter ID, the petitioner was arrested by the respondent police, the finger print of the petitioner was matched with the finger print found in the fake document and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the investigation is pending and objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Both side heard. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the father of the defacto complainant namely Kannan died on 07.07.2013 and the 2nd accused Marimuthu created a concocted general power deed, as if, the power deed was executed by deceased Kannan, though he was already dead. On the basis of the power deed, the 2nd accused Marimuthu created a sale deed in the name of the 3rd accused Ranjith Kumar. The 4th accused as a doctor, gave a life certificate, as if, the deceased Kannan was alive and the said sale deed was registered. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner impersonated as the father of the defacto complainant at the time of execution of the sale deed. Considering the overt act against the petitioner and also, the way in which he has impersonated a dead person and created fake power of attorney and also a sale deed and executed the property belongs to the complainant and considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Hence, the application for bail is dismissed.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 4th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahiala Court, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, DCB P.S., Tiruchirappalli.