

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Thursday, the 11th day of June 2026
Crl. M.P. No. 1956 / 2026

N. Shekdhavuth, aged 25/26, S/o. Noor Mohamed ...Petitioner

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Vathalai P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 201/2026 ...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. P. Savarimuthu, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. T. Senthilkumar, for the petitioner and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for bail u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioner for the offences u/s 123 of BNS r/w Sec.6 and 24(1) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products (Prohibition of Advertisement and Regulation of Trade and Commerce, Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 2003. The occurrence happened on 29.05.2026. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 29.05.2026 onwards.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is an innocent and he has no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and hence prays to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner involved in the offence and the property was recovered by the respondent police and no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the investigation is pending and objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Both side heard. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that on 29.05.2026, when the police was on raid, based on secret information found the petitioner was in possession of 135 packets of Hans (2.700 kg.), 240 packets of Vimal Pakku (0.456

g.), 206 packets of V1 Tobacco (0.72g.) and 13 packets of Cool Lip (0.140 g.), totally 3.368 kg. of banned tobacco products in his shop for sale. The property was recovered by the respondent police and no previous case of similar nature is pending against the petitioner. The occurrence happened on 29.05.2026. The petitioner has been in judicial custody from 29.05.2026 onwards. Major portion of the investigation would have been over by this point of time. In such circumstances, considering all those aspects, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions ;

1. The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on executing his own bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Musiri.
2. The petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., for a period of 30 days.
3. The petitioner/accused shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
4. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. The Magistrate/Trial Court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner/accused was released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.
7. If the petitioner/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 11th day of June 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Musiri.
3. The Inspector of Police, Vathalai P.S., Tiruchirappalli.