

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE (FTC), ARNI. TIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT

**PRESENT: TMT. C. JAYASREE, B.A., B.L.,
Additional District & Sessions Judge.**

Fast Track Court, Arni.

Wednesday, the 22th day of April 2026

CrI.M.P. No. 13/2026, in SC No. 59/2024

Samuvel (28),
S/o. Soundaraj,

.. Petitioner / Accused No.2

/Vs/

State Rep. By
Inspector of Police,
Vandavasi South P.S
(Cr. No. 567/2018)

.. Respondent / Complainant

This petition coming before me for final hearing today in the presence of Tr. E. Kalidoss, Advocate for the Petitioner/Accused No.2 and the Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing both sides and upon perusal of the case records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

Petition filed U/s. 483 BNSS seeking bail for the Petitioner/Accused No.2. He was charged for the offences U/s. 302, 201, 302 r/w 109 of IPC.

1.The Averments in the Petition:

1) The Petitioner/Accused No.2 was remanded through PT Warrant for the charges alleged U/s. 302, 201, 302 r/w 109 of IPC Act and this case is posted for engaging Counsel. The Petitioner/Accused No.2 accused has been remanded through PT Warrant on 13.03.2026 and he has been in Judicial Custody for 34 days till the filing of this bail application.

2) The Petitioner/Accused No.2 is not guilty of any offence and did not commit the said offence, the Petitioner/Accused No.2 was wrongly implicated and the said offence is Non bailable in nature. That the accused is a law abiding citizen and the

accused will not abscond. The liberty of the accused is essential to arrange the defence.

3) The Petitioner/Accused No.2 is ready to furnish substantial sureties to the satisfaction of this Court to enlarge him.

2. The Averments in the Counter :

The above said case was posted on 08.11.2024 for appearance of the accused. The above Petitioner/Accused A2 very well know about the order of the Court. But, he wontonly and deliberately avoided the order of the Court and has not appeared before this Court. The act of the Petitioner/Accused is to drag on the case as far as possible. If the accused is enlarged a bail, the above case may be postponed unnecessarily and it leads to waste of precious time of this Court.

4) The learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused No.2 vehemently submitted that, the Petitioner/Accused No.2 was charged for the offences U/s. 302, 201, 302 r/w 109 of IPC Act and this case was posted for trial. Further, the accused has been remanded through PT Warrant on 13.03.2026 and he has been in Judicial Custody for 34 days till their filing of this bail application and that the accused is not guilty and he did not commit the offence. Further, The learned Counsel argued that Petitioner/Accused A2 is having an infantbaby and therefore, he prays to enlarge him on bail.

5) On perusal of the case records, the Petitioner/Accused No.2 was remanded through PT Warrant and thereby, the Petitioner/Accused No.2 is involved in other cases also and if released on bail, he will not appear before this Court and Considering the facts and circumstances of the family of the Petitioner/Accused No.2 is in incarceration for more than 30 days and this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail on his executing.

1. A personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like sum.

2. The sureties should be Blood Related sureties and they should produce documents, to prove their ownership of the property and on further condition.
3. That, the petitioner should appear before this Court on every working day at 10.30 am until further orders.

In the result, the bail application is allowed.

Dictated by me to the Steno - Typist, typed by her directly on the Laptop, pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 22th day of April 2026.

**Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC),
Arni.**