

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Mathusuthanan, B.A.B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.

Friday , the 13th day of March – 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.567/2026
CNR.No. TNTM01-000958- 2026

1. Malar, aged 45 years, W/o. Subramaniyan
2. Subramaniyan, aged 53 years, S/o. Kasi
3. Venkatesan, aged 23 years, S/o. Subramaniyan
4. Sengottaiyan, aged 33 years, S/o. Jayavel ... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

State through

The Sub Inspector of Police, Kadaladi P.S.

Cr.No. 54/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me on this day for hearing in the presence of Thiru.M.Sivakumar, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and Thiru. K.V.Manoharan, Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and after hearing either side and on perusal of available records, this court passed the following....

ORDER

Petition for anticipatory bail

The petitioners/Accused have filed this petition under section 482 of BNSS. prays to enlarge them on Anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable u/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in **Cr.No.54/2026 of Kadaladi police station.**

Heard both sides. Perused the records.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners/Accused would contend that the petitioners has not committed any offence as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case, the investigation is almost over, and they are having permanent residence and also ready to abide any condition imposed by this court and hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory bail.

The Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State submitted that the petitioners/accused abused him in filthy language and assaulted him and also

threatened with dire consequences and the injured still in the hospital and the investigation has not been completed. Hence opposed to grant Anticipatory bail to them.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence, said to have been committed by the petitioners, and the injured still undergoing treatment, non completion of investigation, strong objection raised on the side of prosecution, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 13th day of March - 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.**

Copy to
The Counsel for the petitioner.