

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Mathusuthanan, B.A.B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.

Friday , the 13th day of March – 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.538/2026
CNR.No. TNTM01-000913- 2026

Tamilmani, aged 27 years, S/o. Elumalai

... Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

State through

The Sub Inspector of Police, Kilpennathur P.S.

Cr.No. 10/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me on this day for hearing in the presence of Thiru.M.Ramesh, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and Thiru. K.V.Manoharan, Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and after hearing either side and on perusal of available records, this court passed the following....

ORDER

Petition for Anticipatory bail

The petitioner/Accused has filed this petition under section 482 of BNSS. prays to enlarge him on anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable u/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 329(4), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act **in Cr.No.10/2026 of Kilpennathur Police Station.**

Heard Both sides. Records perused.

The Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner/Accused contended that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case, the complaint is false, the investigation is almost over, he is having permanent residence and also ready to abide any condition imposed by this court and hence, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

The Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner/accused and other co accused person unlawfully assembled with rioting armed with deadly weapon and they abused the defacto complainant in filthy language,

assaulted and also threatened with dire consequences, and no one admitted at hospital, the investigation has not been completed.

Having considered the facts and circumstance of the case, nature of offence said to have been committed by the petitioner, non completion of investigation, strong objection raised on the side of prosecution, at this stage, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 13th day of March - 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.**

Copy to
The Counsel for the petitioner.