

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
TIRUVANNAMALAI

**PRESENT: Thiru.P.Mathusuthanan, B.A.B.L.,**  
Principal Sessions Judge ,  
Tiruvannamalai.

Tuesday , the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March – 2026

**Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.505/2026**  
**CNR.No. TNTM01-000857- 2026**

R.Sathivel, aged 44 years, S/o.Rathakrishnan ... Petitioner/Accused  
/Vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police, Vigilance and Anti Corruption Tiruvannamalai P.S.  
FIR.No. 2/AC/2026 ... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me on this day for hearing in the presence of Thiru.C.Palanisamy and M.Saranya, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and Thiru. K.V.Manoharan, Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and after hearing either side and on perusal of available records, this court passed the following.

...

**ORDER**

Petition for bail

The petitioner/A1 has filed this petition under section 483 of BNSS Act, praying to grant bail to him for the alleged offences punishable under sections, 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act 1988 as amended by the PC (Amendment) Act 2018 **inCr.No.02/AC/2026 of Vigilance & Anti Corruption, Tiruvannamalai.**

Heard Both sides. Records perused.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/Accused would contend that the petitioner/accused is an innocent person and he has noway connected with the above alleged offences, the petitioner is in judicial custody from 27.02.2026, the petitioner is having a permanent residence and also ready to abide any condition imposed by this court and hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner/accused was working as a Firka Surveyor, Polur Firka, Tiruvannamalai District, the defacto complainant Tr. M.Chinnarasu is a daily wage and he received an ancestral house as his share during the partition and the same was registered in Polur Sub-Register Office. The petitioner whose official duty is to measure the house and recommend for sub-division of patta has demanded an amount of Rs.6,000/- as an

undue advantage. But later on reduced to Rs.5,000/- and instructed that only if the bribe amount is paid the work will be completed. The complainant who was unwilling to pay any bribe has lodged a complaint on 23.02.2026 before the respondent police and the same was registered FIR as against the petitioner. Thereafter on 27.02.2026 again the trap was organized. In pursuance of the above said demand, the petitioner accused had demanded and directed the complainant to hand over the money to the Accused No.2 who received the tainted currency of Rs.5,000/- with the knowledge that it was an undue advantage for doing the official duty and also the phenolphthalein test was conducted before the independent official witnesses in the both hands and pant pocket of Accused No.2 which turned positive and the investigation has not been completed. Hence opposed to grant bail.

Having considered the nature of offence, said to have been committed by the petitioner receiving bribe for union Government service which is quite serious nature. Hence on considering the serious nature of the offence, non completion of investigation, strong objections raised on the side of prosecution, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March - 2026

**Principal Sessions Judge ,  
Tiruvannamalai.**

**Copy to**  
The Counsel for the petitioner.