

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Mathusuthanan, B.A.B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.

Wednesday , the 18th day of February – 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.394/2026

CNR.No. TNTM01-000675- 2026

1. Balu, aged 34 years, S/o. Shankar
 2. Rani, aged 53 years, W/o. Shankar
 3. Banu, aged 38 years, D/o. Shankar
 4. Bakkiyalakshmi, aged 32 years, D/o. Shankar
 5. Barathi, aged 30 years, D/o. Shankar
- ... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

State through

The Sub Inspector of Police, Tiruvannamalai all women P.S.

Cr.No. 10/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me on this day for hearing in the presence of Thiru.C.V.Manivannan, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and Thiru. K.V.Manoharan, Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and after hearing either side and on perusal of available records, this court passed the following....

ORDER

Petition for Anticipatory bail

The petitioners/Accused have filed this petition under section 482 of BNSS Act, praying to grant Anticipatory bail to them for the alleged offences punishable under sections, 85 of BNS and **Section 4 of D.P Act (As per memo order dated 25.02.2026)** Act in Cr.No. 10/2026 of Tiruvannamalai AWPS.

Heard Both sides. Records perused.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners/Accused would contend that the petitioners/accused are an innocent person and they are noway connected with the above alleged offences, the petitioners are having a permanent residence and also ready to abide any condition imposed by this court, hence prayed to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

The Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State submitted that the 1st petitioner/accused is the husband of the defacto complainant, 2nd petitioner is the mother of 1st petitioner, other petitioners are the sisters of the 1st petitioner, the 1st petitioner caused cruelty to the defacto complainant and harrassed her and the investigation has not been completed. Hence opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In so far as the 1st petitioner is concerned:

At the time of hearing the Anticipatory Bail Application, the defacto complainant appeared before this Court. From the submission of the learned public prosecutor as well as the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and on perusal of records, it is learnt that the defacto complainant and the 1st petitioner has got married on 10.05.2012, thereafter the marriage was duly registered on 15.11.2012 and they lead a matrimonial life, and it is further noted that out of the wed lock she begotten a two children and thereafter during the matrimonial life, there was a misunderstanding between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant in day to day life. Hence on considering the matrimonial dispute between the defacto complainant and the first petitioner and also considering the future of the two children who are present at the time of present bail application, and in order to avoid further complication, this Court deem appropriate *to refer the mater for mediation to resolve the issue amicably and report the same to this court and this court also considering the life of the defacto complainant and two children day to day basic needs, this court deem appropriate to direct the 1st petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- per month to the defacto complainant towards the maintenance*, being a husband of the defacto complainant, he is the duty bounden to pay the maintenance to his wife/defacto complainant and her two children. *Hence this court is inclined to grant **Interim Anticipatory bail to the 1st petitioner till 18.03.2026.***

With the above stipulation, this court is inclined to grant an Interim Anticipatory Bail to the 1st petitioner. with the following conditions:

1) The petitioner/Accused is hereby directed to pay a sum of **Rs.5,000/-** (Rupees Ten thousand only) (every month within 10th day of English Calender Month without fail) to the defacto complainant.

(2) *The 1st petitioner is also directed to deposit a sum of Rs.2000/- for mediation at the Mediation Centre, District Court Campus, Tiruvannamalai.*

(3) *On payment of such deposit Rs.5000/- only, the 1st petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on **Interim Anticipatory bail till 18.03.2026** in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within a period of 15 days from today and on executing an own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousands Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate and*

4) The Authority of Mediation Centre is hereby directed to issue summons to both parties viz., the de-facto complainant and the 1st petitioner and mediate the matter and submit a report to this court on or before **18.03.2026**

5) The 1st petitioner/Accused is directed to report before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila, Tiruvannamalai daily(except court holidays) at 10.30 a.m** until further orders.

In so far as the 2 to 5 petitioners are concerned:

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of the offence, completion of material portion of investigation, in the absence of any strong objection raised on the side of prosecution, this Court is inclined to grant **absolute anticipatory bail to the 2 to 5 petitioners.**

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is allowed respect of 2 to 5 petitioners with the following conditions:

1. The 2 to 5 Petitioners/Accused are ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest or on appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, within a period of 15 days from today and on their executing an own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousands only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate.

(2) The 2 to 5 Petitioners are directed to report before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila, Tiruvannamalai daily(except court holidays) at 10.30 a.m.** for a period of 15 days from the date of their release without fail.

(3) The 2 to 5 Petitioners shall not tamper any witness, they should co-operate with the investigation, they should not make any undue influence on the witnesses, they should not indulge in any other offence in future and not to leave the station either to abroad or other State without permission of this Court.

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 18th day of February - 2026

Sd/- P.Mathusuthanan,
**Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.**

Copy to

The learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila, Tiruvannamalai.
The Sub Inspector of Police, Tiruvannamalai All Women PS.
The Counsel for the petitioner.

U/S. 85 of BNS and **Section 4 of D.P Act (As per memo order dated 25.02.2026)**

**Principal Sessions Judge ,
Tiruvannamalai.**