

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, AMBASAMUDRAM

Present:Selvi.G.Jeyasankarakumari, B.A.L.L.B.,

Principal District Munsif

Ambasamudram.

Tuesday, the 22nd day of April 2025

I.A. No.11/2024

in

O.S.No. 261/2015

1. Esakki

... Petitioners/Defendants

2. Revathi

/Vs/

1. Saroja (Died)

2. Sathees Sankar

3. Vinoth Kumari

...Respondents/Plaintiffs

This petition came up before me for final hearing on 08.04.2025 in the presence of Thiru.S.Muthuramalingam, Advocate for the Petitioners and in the presence of Thiru.P.Sivaramakrishnan, Advocate for the Respondents and on perusal of records having stood over for consideration till this date and this Court delivers the following.

ORDER

This petition is filed by the 1st Plaintiff under order 18 Rule 1A(3) to receive the additional document.

2) BRIEF AVERMENTS OF THE AFFIDAVIT:-

2. The petitioners have stated that the case is posted for further defendant side witnesses. Now the petitioners wants to mark one vital House site plan document on his side. Therefore, to substantiate petitioner's case, this petition is filed and requires to be allowed. Otherwise, the petitioners will be suffering hardship and inconvenience.

3) BRIEF AVERMENTS OF COUNTER:-

The respondents have stated that only to prolong the case and to harass the respondents this petition has been initiated. The respondents have stated that all the averments in the plaint denied and same deserves dismissal on cost.

4. Point for consideration:-

Whether this petition may be allowed or not?

5. Answer the Point:-

On careful consideration of petition, affidavit an objection and counter of the respondents, it is crystal clear that to adduce evidence, this petition has been filed. On perusal of case history, it is Limelight that still the case is pending for defendants side witnessess. To prove the case of the petitioners, this petition has been filed. Further, there won't be any great hardship or inconvenience to the respondents, if this petition is allowed. The Court admits, the document with objection from the defendant this Court considered the objection after that this Court may decides the admissibility of the document. The Court will decided the admissibility and relevancy of the document at the disposal of the Suit. This petition is only for allowing the

additional document which is not filed by the plaintiffs at this time, the appreciation of evidence is not correct. There is an opportunity for the plaintiffs to examine and ask questions about the document. Therefore, to give an opportunity to the petitioners to establish their case, it is inevitable to allow this petition. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed without costs.

Dictated to the Steno-Typist, directly and typed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, on this the **22nd day of April 2025.**

**Principal District Munsif,
Ambasamudram.**

Both side witnesses and documents: Nil

**Principal District Munsif,
Ambasamudram.**

PDMC, Ambasamudram

I.A.No.11/2024

in

O.S.No.261/15

Draft/Fair order

Dt. 22.04.2025