

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE VALLIYOOR

PRESENT : Thiru P. Moses Jebasingh, B.Sc., M.L.,

Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor.

Dated the 09th day of June 2026

CrIMP.No. 451/2026

in

(Cr.No. 146/2026)

(on the file of Thirukkurungudi Police Station)

1.Muthupandi, S/o. Poolpandi

2.Vanumamalai, S/o. Kanthaiya

... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs./

State of Tamil Nadu through

Sub Inspector of Police,

Thirukkurungudi Police Station.

... Respondent/Complainant

This bail application is coming before me on 09.06.2026 for bail filed by Advocate Mr.A. Arun filed for Accused and the Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for state, heard both sides, perused the records and this court passed the following

ORDER

1) The Petitioners/Accused filed this petition seeking for bail under section 480 BNSS. Notice was given to the Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for state and received reply.

2) The petitioners counsel submitted that the Sub Inspector of Police Thirukkurungudi has registered a case against the petitioners/accused in Cr.No. 146/2026 under section 115(2), 189(2), 329(4), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS and Sec 4 of TNPHW Act. The respondent police effected formal arrest the petitioners and remanded on 21.05.2026 to Judicial custody. The petitioners/accused are innocent and have not committed any alleged offences in the prosecution case. The petitioners/accused have got solvent sureties to secure their attendance before this Hon'ble Court whenever required. Hence considering all the above facts, the petitioners prayed that the bail petition may be allowed.

3) The prosecution strongly objects to release the petitioners/accused on bail for the following reason. The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor filed reply

stating that, investigation not yet completed. If accused enlarged on bail. Accused may involved in similar offences. Accused may abscond and tampering witnesses. The 1st petitioner Muthupandi having already 15 previous cases and the 2nd petitioner Vanumamalai having already 12 previous cases. So the bail petition is strongly objection and same to be dismissed.

4) Record perused. Case is on hurt and criminal intimidation. The 1st petitioner Muthupandi having already 15 previous cases and the 2nd petitioner Vanumamalai having already 12 previous cases. This court has taken into consideration the fact and circumstances of the case gravity of offence. On considering all the above facts and circumstances. Both accused have many criminal antecedents. Moreover, now case is in the stage of preliminary investigation only. Hence, in the interest of justice this court is not inclined to allow this petition.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Dictated by me to the steno typist, typed by her directly in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 09th day of June 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Valliyoar.